




*Do the [Soviet] Russians Want War? - Alexander Popovich
Soviet Anti-War Song Written in 1961*

PEOPLES SCHOOL FOR MARXIST-LENINIST STUDIES™



New Outlook Publishers: *Unveiling the Lies of the Cold War*

PSMLS 09/09/25



What we'll be learning today:

- History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For 'Help'
- By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History
- Who Controls the Past Controls the Future: Why does the West Hate Stalin?



The bourgeois state and its institutions, especially used by the most reactionary, is there to preserve capitalism

“At a certain stage of development, the material productive forces of society come into conflict with the existing relations of production or -- this merely expresses the same thing in legal terms -- with the property relations within the framework of which they have operated hitherto. From forms of development of the productive forces these relations turn into their fetters. Then begins an era of social revolution. The changes in the economic foundation lead sooner or later to the transformation of the whole immense superstructure. In studying such transformations it is always necessary to distinguish between the material transformation of the economic conditions of production, which can be determined with the precision of natural science, and the legal, political, religious, artistic or philosophic -- in short, ideological forms in which men become conscious of this conflict and fight it out.”

- Karl Marx in his preface to the Contribution of the Critique of Political Economy



The bourgeois state and its institutions, especially used by the most reactionary, is there to preserve capitalism

- Marx, in the passage, states that at point of maturity of the capitalist mode of production, the social nature of production and advanced techniques which create an abundance of commodities comes into conflict with the private expropriation of the commodities into fewer and fewer hands.
- Thus, in order prevent a social revolution, the capitalist class, and in particular, the monopolies, use bourgeois institutions such as the state, mass media, and research and educational institutions to hamper the class consciousness of the working class and its allies.
- The most reactionary sections of society will resort to eliminating democracy and liberal traditions in the form of fascism when needed. However, in some cases, integrating fascist propaganda within the bourgeois democratic institutions is sufficient.
- In today's class, we will particularly observe how former Nazis and their collaborators in Ukraine were used by the American state to undermine the USSR and socialism.



Section 1



History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For 'Help'

After the defeat of German Nazism in 1945, members of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and their paramilitary UPA units continued their struggle against the Soviet Union. Although former Nazi collaborators officially dissolved the UPA in 1949, many of them joined foreign subversive groups and intelligence agencies, dreaming of revenge.

The OUN planned to establish a dictatorship in exile that would one day be transferred to a "liberated" Ukraine, noted Dr. Per Anders Rudling, a Swedish-American historian. Since the beginning of the Cold War, the West recruited many of the OUN leaders, particularly Mykola Lebed, who established contact with US intelligence in 1945. Curiously enough, while characterizing Lebed as "a well-known sadist and collaborator

of the Germans," the CIA nevertheless considered him and his counterparts as valuable agents.



Mykola Lebed, as leader of OUN-B led his subordinates in the murder of 100,000 Poles in Volhynia and Galicia Regions of Ukraine



History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For 'Help'

Rudling cited one of the CIA operatives who argued that “some form of nationalist feeling continues to exist [in Ukraine] and... there is an obligation to support it as a cold war weapon.”

The CIA and the US State Department also sponsored OUN leaders' immigration to the United States in 1949.

The collaboration between the OUN, the CIA and other intelligence services was mutually beneficial: former Nazi collaborators were shielded from prosecution for war crimes, while intelligence agents received valuable information about their Soviet adversaries. Furthermore, the CIA helped nationalist veterans to create “semiacademic” institutions and to assume high academic positions at established universities.



History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For 'Help'

For instance, in 1956 the CIA incorporated a set of networks under Mykola Lebed's leadership and created the nonprofit Proloh (Prologue) Research and Publishing Association, whose

goal was to publish anticommunist propaganda, including radio broadcasts, newspapers and books.

For several decades, Ukrainian nationalist expatriates developed a new Ukrainian ideology and re-wrote the history of the Second World War. Hideous wartime crimes of the OUN-UPA were whitewashed, denied or justified by pro-OUN historians. The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 played into the hands of the Ukrainian nationalists: Soviet textbooks were abandoned in newly established Ukrainian state and replaced with diaspora's accounts of the past.

"Unlike many other former Soviet republics, the Ukrainian government did not need to develop new national myths from scratch, but imported ready concepts developed in the Ukrainian diaspora," Per Rudling underscored.

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence BATE 2007

SUBJECT : Political, Propaganda and Intelligence Project
Targeted Against the Soviet Ukraine

1. Operational Summary. Since 1953 CIA has directed the Prolog Research Corporation, providing virtually all of its financial support. Prolog is composed of a small group of Ukrainian emigres which CIA has directed since 1950 in clandestine operations, ranging from resistance in the Ukraine to dialogues with Ukrainian intellectuals, and the publication of anti-Soviet propaganda. It is proposed to continue CIA support of Prolog.

2. Previous 303 Committee Approvals. On 1 December 1967, the 303 Committee approved continuation of CIA's relationship to Prolog. It recommended annual review of Prolog's value and effectiveness; approved the merger of Prolog's activities with its Munich affiliate, and the continued residence of certain Prolog personnel in the United States.

3. Cover and Personnel. CIA was not able to merge Prolog and the Munich office for two reasons. The two principals, Mikola Lebed and Myroslav Prokop, without which Prolog would not exist, decline to move abroad, and there was reason to believe that German Government receptivity to emigre groups was no longer promising. Instead, the status of Prolog was changed from a tax-free, non-profit organization to that of a profit-making commercial enterprise, and all of its publishing activity was moved abroad. Prolog is based in New York and has an affiliate in Munich. Prolog's contact with Soviets, conducted from its New York office, and its publishing activities in Munich assist in achieving the project objectives. The ostensible source of income for both New York and Munich is from unnamed private individuals and institutions abroad for whom research contracts are fulfilled.

BA 008122-20068

Declassified CIA document outlining how the CIA funding Nazi Mykola Lebed for purposes of Fifth Column activity in Ukraine and the creation of Anti-Soviet propaganda

To read report yourself visit:

https://www.cia.gov/readingroom/docs/AERODYNAMIC%20%20VOL.%2038%20%2028OPERATIONS%29_0115.pdf



Ukrainian-American Diaspora Newspaper 'Svoboda - The Ukrainian Weekly' white washes Nazi Collaborators: Roman Shukhevych and his son Yuri

THE Ukrainian Weekly

Thoughts on Shukhevych

There is something innately anomic about a social system that is pathologically terrified by a 14-year-old boy. There is something morally diseased about a system that, in its lily-livered terror, feels compelled to arrest and sentence the boy — guilty of no crime — to 10 years' imprisonment under appalling conditions. But there are no words to describe the bankruptcy of a system that has kept him in irons for three decades.

Today, March 28, Yuriy Shukhevych is 48 years old, and he remains a prisoner because, since he was 14, he has steadfastly refused to renounce the activities of his father, the late Gen. Roman Shukhevych, commander-in-chief of the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) who was killed in battle in 1950.

Mr. Shukhevych's story is one of true heroism in the face of tenacious and unrelenting persecution. It is also a story which reflects the fundamental insecurity and paranoia of the Soviet oligarchy because it raises the question of why one of the world's great superpowers should fear one man so much.

Yuriy Shukhevych was first arrested in August 1948 and sentenced to 10 years' imprisonment. No charges were ever filed against the teenager. After serving eight years, he was released in the spring of 1956 on the grounds that he was imprisoned while still a minor. That fall, Soviet authorities changed their minds and forced him to complete the sentence because, the prosecutor general argued at the time, "he is the son of a nationalist leader."

The elder Shukhevych's death on March 5, 1950, in a skirmish with MVD (security police) troops, did not make life any easier for his son. It was not enough for Soviet authorities that the great nationalist leader was dead, or that UPA, after years of valiant struggle, was on the verge of decimation. They wanted Yuriy Shukhevych to renounce his father. The young man refused.

Yuri Shukhevych, a member of OUN-B and its military wing UPA, spent his whole life as Fifth Columnist in the USSR.

After the Counter-Revolution of 1991, he became influential and after the Euro-Maidan Coup, played a major role in shaping De-Communization laws for the fascist regime.

Highlights to outline the rhetoric used to whitewash Nazism

On the day of his scheduled release in August 1958, Mr. Shukhevych, only 24 and already a veteran of the Soviet penal system, was rearrested. This time, however, he was formally charged, accused of the catch-all "anti-Soviet agitation and propaganda." He was found guilty and sentenced to another 10-year term. And still, he staunchly refused to denigrate the name and memory of his father.

At age 34, after he was released and exiled from Ukraine, Mr. Shukhevych settled in Nalchik in the northern Caucasus. In February 1972 he was arrested for a third time and sentenced the following September to five years in prison, five years in a labor camp and five years' internal exile. The charge? The handy anti-Soviet agitprop.

Ironically, in their frenzied haste to break Mr. Shukhevych, the Soviets succeeded in turning a 14-year-old innocent into a courageous and seasoned patriot as unyieldingly principled as his late father. Mr. Shukhevych's ordeal only served to educate him, to strengthen his ideals, to stiffen his resolve.

While serving his latest term, Mr. Shukhevych not only renounced his Soviet citizenship, he irked Soviet officials by joining the Ukrainian Helsinki Group in 1979. What's more, he continues to refuse to repudiate his father.

So why is the Soviet regime so afraid of one, solitary man, a man who has been in near constant custody since adolescence and who never even had a chance to take up arms against the state, or write political treatises denouncing its sterility, or garner a huge popular following? Because it stands on the brittle pillar of an arid ideology mortared not by socialism, but by cynicism and contemptuousness held in force by truncheons rather than ethics or principles. Yuriy Shukhevych, and others like him, are men of principle and ideals who have maintained their dignity and humanity in the face of a society that relies almost exclusively on man's baser instincts for its very survival.

We don't need to go to great lengths to lionize Mr. Shukhevych. His suffering, and the fact that Soviet officialdom has hated this man with such intensity and for so long, testify to his remarkable courage, and the pusillanimity and vapidness of the system which continues to torment him. But we must act now to draw the world's attention to this unprecedented case which so clearly exemplifies the Soviet government's limitless capacity for cruelty.



History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For ‘Help’

Predictably, numerous neo-Nazi and UPA organizations have begun emerging in Ukraine since the early 1990s. The movement received its second wind after the so-called “Orange Revolution” of 2004, backed by the West, that led to official legitimizing of many of far-right nationalist movements in Ukraine, including the VO Svoboda of Oleh Tyahnybok, who were active participants in the Euromaidan of 2013-2014. The Kiev regime of Viktor Yushchenko bolstered nationalism and encouraged an anti-Russia’s stance in Ukraine.

However, Ukraine’s new democratically elected president Viktor Yanukovich (2010-2014), nearly destroyed the plans of ultra-nationalists and their supporters.



Oleh Tyahnybok, leader of Svoboda, giving a “Heil Hitler” salute



History Repeated: Ukraine Neo-Nazis Turn To Old Ally Us For 'Help'

In February 2014, he was ousted during the US-backed military coup in Ukraine. Ultra-nationalists, who declared themselves heirs of the OUN-UPA played a crucial role in the Euromaidan. Supported by the Kiev regime, the neo-Nazi vigilantes then launched a campaign against Russian-speakers across the country that finally turned into full-scale war in

eastern Ukraine. It looks like the OUN-UPA heirs have bad habits that die hard. Nowadays, as 64 years ago, Ukrainian nationalists are again calling upon Washington to provide them with military assistance aimed against east Ukrainian independence supporters and Russia. History repeats itself...



2014 Euromaidan Coup participants flying Svoboda and Banderite blood and soil colored Right Sector flags



Discussion



Section 2

By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History



The West is turning a blind eye to the Verkhovna Rada's decision to equate Communism to Nazism and declare that the infamous Nazi collaborators – the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) – were “fighters for Ukrainian independence.”

Law 2558, adopted by the Verkhovna Rada on April 9, 2015, stipulates that those who deny “the criminal character of the communist totalitarian regime of 1917-1991 in Ukraine” may face criminal prosecution.

At the same time the Ukrainian parliament proclaimed the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) “fighters for Ukrainian independence,” and restricted questioning the legitimacy of their actions during the Second World War.



The Ukrainian parliament in December 2018 declared January 1 a national day of commemoration for Stepan Bandera

By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History




The descendents of the Ukrainian Banderite fascists in US today

Curiously enough, the majority of Western leaders have not rushed to condemn Kiev's initiative with the exception of a few prominent scholars and experts, who expressed their deep concerns regarding honoring the notorious Nazi collaborators.

And it is hardly surprising, deems Professor Grover Carr Furr, a prominent American historian, author and expert in Soviet history.

"This is nothing new. The United States permitted Ukrainian fascists to flee the Red Army to the USA, Canada, and elsewhere [after the Second World War]. The US CIA funded Ukrainian Nationalist research groups, newspapers, and of course spies," the professor told Sputnik.

"In short, the USA (and Canada, in an even larger way) spent a lot of money supporting Ukrainian fascists after 1945. When the USSR broke up the US began to get its money's worth. The Ukrainian nationalists – the OUN, former UPA, and so on – flooded back to Ukraine after 1991. They soon captured the universities and education generally," Professor Furr noted.



In front of Syracuse City Hall August 2017.


Notice the Azov Batallion Flag on the left and Right Sector flags in the Center

By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History



The new Kiev legislation is not the first attempt aimed at equating Communism and Nazism: pro-nationalist Ukrainian scholars have been systematically spreading myths of the Soviet crimes against Ukrainians since the end of the Second World War. One of these well-known attempts to rewrite Soviet history is a “Holodomor” hoax.

“In 1987 the film *Harvest of Despair* was made. It was the beginning of the ‘Holodomor’ hoax. The film was entirely funded by Ukrainian Nationalists, mainly in Canada. A Canadian scholar, Douglas Tottle, exposed the fact that the film



Order a copy of Douglas Tottle's book on New Outlook Publishers:

<https://newoutlookpublishers.store/shop/countries/soviet/fraud-famine-and-fascism-the-ukrainian-genocide-myth-from-hitler-to-harvard/>

By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History



took photographs from the 1921-22 'Volga famine' and used them to illustrate the 1932-33 famine. Tottle later wrote a book, *Fraud, Famine, and Fascism: The Ukrainian Genocide Myth from Hitler to Harvard*, about the phony 'Holodomor' issue," Professor Grover Furr told Sputnik.

Furthermore, American professor Mark B. Tauger has done groundbreaking research on the Famine of 1932-33 and come to the conclusion that the disaster was due to environmental circumstances at the time and was not related to the Soviet policy in the region, Professor Furr emphasized.

The Carl Beck Papers

in Russian &
East European Studies

Number 1506

Mark B. Tauger

**Natural Disaster and
Human Actions in the
Soviet Famine of
1931-1933**

By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History



Warsaw, which surprisingly failed to blast Kiev for its initiative to honor the OUN-UPA insurgents, is also supporting Ukraine's anti-Soviet sentiment.

Warsaw has got its own skeletons in the closet: for instance, blasting the Soviet government for the Katyn massacre, Polish historians remain silent about the fate of 18,000 – 60,000 Red Army soldiers who died in Polish captivity in 1920s. "There is good documentation that they [the Soviet POWs] were treated brutally, starved, frozen, and many of them murdered outright," Grover C. Furr pointed out.

The Carl Beck Papers in Russian & East European Studies

Number 2107

Per A. Rudling

**The OUN, the UPA
and the Holocaust:**
A Study in the Manufacturing
of Historical Myths



By Equating USSR to Nazi Germany, the West Covers up its own Shameful History



Slamming the USSR for the Molotov-Ribbentrop non-aggression treaty, signed in 1939, Polish scholars apparently forgot that Poland's First Marshall Jozef Pilsudski concluded the German-Polish Pact with Hitler five years earlier, in January 1934. While in 1938, Poland took part in the infamous partition of Czechoslovakia together with Nazi Germany.

"Then there are all the crimes of the Polish underground, the Armia Krajowa, and the post-war Polish underground. The AK collaborated with the Nazis, for example. They continued to murder Jews and communists, mainly Poles, after the war for years," the professor underscored.



Hitler and other prominent Nazi leaders held a memorial service for Jozef Pilsudski in Berlin in May 1935



Slide Title



Start: 00:06:10

End:00:11:25

Restart: 00:12:35

End: 00:15:21



Discussion



Section 3



WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?


“Why [there is] so much anti-Stalin and anti-communism? Anti-communism because communism is the antithesis to capitalism. And anti-Stalin because the Stalin period in the USSR was the period when the world Communist movement did so much that was good. Also, anti-Stalinism and anti-communism because of the huge atrocities of capitalism and imperialism in the 20th century, which continue today,” Professor Furr emphasized.

COLD WAR: WESTERN HISTORIANS ON INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

The professor pointed out that historian Robert Conquest (the author of *The Great Terror: Stalin's Purges of the 1930s* who passed away on August 3, 2015) had been working for the British Information Research Department (IRD) since its establishment and up to 1956. The IRD, originally called the Communist Information Bureau, was founded in 1947, when the Cold War era began.

“[The IRDs’] main task was to combat Communist influence throughout the world by planting stories among politicians, journalists and others in a position to influence public opinion,” Professor Furr explained.

Conquest’s work was to contribute to the so-called “black history” of the Soviet Union, the professor noted, “in other words, fake stories put out as fact and distributed among journalists and others able to influence public opinion.”





WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?



The professor remarked that to our days Conquest remains one of the most important sources of material on the Soviet Union for anti-communist and Russophobic historians.

The propagandist activity, masquerading as scholarship, was aimed against the USSR and coordinated by US/British intelligence.

Furr noted that Conquest periodically met with heavy criticism from prominent Western scholars, which blasted him for “consciously falsifying information” about the Soviet Union. In fact, Conquest just used any source that was hostile

to Stalin and the USSR, turning a blind eye to the fact whether it was reliable or not.


WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?



Unsurprisingly though, the Western historical discourse is currently flooded with politicized myths about the USSR and Joseph Stalin.

One of those who contribute a lot to the blackening of Soviet Russia is Timothy Snyder, the Housum Professor of History at Yale and author of *Bloodlands*. Like Conquest, he is a celebrated Western author praised by both American right-wing and liberal sources.

While attacking Stalin, Snyder is trying to convince his readers that Hitler was no worse but in some sense “less evil” than the Soviet leader. Snyder goes even so far as to suggest that “in order to carry out the murder of the Jews [the Holocaust],... Adolf Hitler depended on Joseph Stalin [and his methods],” as Professor David A. Bell remarked in his recent review of Snyder’s *Black Earth* for the National Interest.



The Evidence that Every Accusation
Against Joseph Stalin and the
Soviet Union in Timothy Snyder’s
Bloodlands Is False.

Plus: What Really Happened: The Famine of 1932-33;
the “Polish Operation”; the “Great Terror”;
the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact; the “Soviet invasion of Poland”;
the “Katyn Massacre”; the Warsaw Uprising;
and “Stalin’s Anti-Semitism”

Grover Furr’s *Blood Lies* uncovers the truth about
the accusations in *Bloodlands*




WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?

MOLOTOV-RIBBENTROP PACT: TRUTH AND LIES


The story of the 1939 Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact narrated by Snyder and other anti-communist historians is also full of incorrect assumptions.

"They say that in the treaty 'the Soviet Union and Nazi Germany agreed to divide Eastern Europe between themselves.' This is false. The treaty, in a secret clause, marked all of Eastern Poland as the 'Soviet sphere of influence.' This meant that when the German army defeated the Polish army, (a) the German army would have to withdraw from Eastern Poland, remaining hundreds of miles away from the pre-1939 Soviet border; (b) Poland would remain in existence, and hopefully be willing to ally with the USSR against Hitler," Professor Furr stressed.

Planned division of Central Europe according to Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact



Actual territorial changes 1939-1940





WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?

Furr pointed out that the USSR had been trying hard to get Poland, the UK and France to agree to “collective security,” that would oblige each country to declare war on Germany if Hitler attacked Poland. Alas, Warsaw and London refused to conclude any such treaty.

“The ‘Munich Accords’ of October 1938, where the UK and France had given Hitler a large part of Czechoslovakia (later they also gave Hitler all the Czech gold reserves too) had proven that the capitalists wanted Hitler to attack the USSR. The anti-communist and anti-Semitic Polish government also snatched a piece of Czechoslovakia at this time,” Grover Furr emphasized.


In September 1939 the German army occupied Poland and the Polish government fled the country to Romania. When there is no government, there is no state.






WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?

Planned division of Central Europe according to Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact



Actual territorial changes 1939-1940



"Hitler's men told the Soviets they were ready to permit a pro-Nazi, anti-communist Ukrainian state in the former Eastern Poland. So, the Soviets had no choice but to occupy Eastern Poland. 'Eastern Poland' was not really Polish anyway. It had been seized by force from Soviet Russia by imperialists in 1921. Most of the population was Ukrainian, Belorussian, and Jewish," the professor underscored.

Professor Furr stressed that the significance of the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact is enormous: it helped to save the USSR, and therefore all Europe, from Hitler's domination:

"If the German army had been able to start their attack 300 miles closer [to the Soviet border] the Nazi hordes would have taken Moscow. If Hitler had conquered the USSR he would have had the immense material and human resources of this gigantic country to turn against England. Hitler had already conquered almost all of Europe," he emphasized.

WHO CONTROLS THE PAST CONTROLS THE FUTURE: WHY DOES WEST HATE STALIN?



added. But why is Washington so anti-Russian?

The professor explained that unlike Gorbachev or Yeltsin, President Putin does not bow before Washington and NATO, adding that the US' capitalist competition will inevitably lead to imperialist competition and war.

"In my experience—limited, I admit—there is a lot of naivete about US foreign policy. The USA has been by far the most aggressive and murderous power in the world since WW2, and it continues to be. Military bases in well over 100 countries, the largest military machine in the world by far. We should not be naive. No country builds such a military without intent to use it. So, they are preparing for the next war," Professor Furr told Sputnik.

"My point is this: the USSR and world communist movement never did anything remotely comparable to what the capitalists and imperialists did in the last century. And this is unacceptable [for capitalists]. They must show communism and Stalin to be worse than, not better than, what the capitalists and imperialists were doing. Lying is the only way," Professor Grover Furr concluded.



Spineless traitor Mikhail Gorbachev proudly accepting the *Ronald Reagan Freedom Award* (1992) for his role in the counter Revolution of 1991.



Other Examples of CIA and the West Using Former Nazis/fascists and Collaborators to Undermine Soviet Union and Communists

- Use of Prussian Nazi Otto Von Bolschwing to create networks of anti-Communist and former Nazi agents in Hungary and Romania after WWII
- Operation Gladio - CIA Operation that used former Italian Fascists and neo-fascists to commit false flag terrorist attacks such as during the “Years of Lead” to undermine the influential Communist Party of Italy (PCI)
- Operation Bloodstone - CIA operation to use former Nazis, fascists, and other anti-communists to spread counter-revolution in the Soviet Union, Eastern European peoples democracies, Latin America,
- Operation Condor - CIA and Pinochet government collaboration to build fascist networks in Latin America to prevent revolutions
- On top foreign operations involving former Nazis, fascists, reactionaries, etc, the US also imported some into its own borders through operations such as Operation Paperclip which integrated fascist propaganda and lobbies into US’s mass media and legal fabric
- These are only a few of the many. Later this year we will have a class on the Hungarian Counter-Revolution Attempt of 1956



Discussion & New Members Introductions



New Member Introductions

- What is your name, pronouns and state (or country/territory)?
- Where do you work? Is it unionized?
- How did you find out about the People's School?
- What do you think about tonight's class?



Discussion & Wrap Up



Announcements

- PCUSA Cell Chairs need to call members of their cells to remind them about attendance.
- The next issue of New Masses (2025) has begun production. If you have art you want us to feature, email it to info@partyofcommunistsusa.net.



Volunteers Needed!

We are in need of volunteers for the staff of the People's School! Here's a few roles we need filled:

- People to help manage posting on our social media and podcast platforms
- Video Editors, Audio Editors, Graphic Designers, Artists, Narrators
- Web Controls, Moderators





Email info@peopleschool.us if you're interested and try to attend our next staff meeting if possible.



New Outlook Publishers
newoutlookpublishers.store



Relevant & Latest Releases





tell me, what are we making weapons for?

**I had a son and my son was a soldier,
he was so like my father,
he was so much like me.
To be a good comrade was the best
that he dreamed he could be.**

Let Us Begin (What are we making weapons for?) - John Denver